

Improving Intelligibility of Synthesized Speech in Noisy Condition with Dynamically Adaptive Machine Speech Chain

SASHI NOVITASARI^{1,2,a)} SAKRIANI SAKTI^{1,2,3,b)} SATOSHI NAKAMURA^{1,2,c)}

Abstract: This paper focuses on the machine speech chain mechanism for improving the intelligibility of synthesized speech in noisy conditions. Our proposed TTS synthesizes a speech by adapting to the situation. It will speak loudly with high intelligibility in a noisy condition by processing auditory feedback that consists of speech-to-signal ratio and ASR loss as a speech intelligibility measure. Our experiments show that auditory feedback improves the TTS in a noisy environment than the standard TTS.

Keywords: text-to-speech, machine speech chain inference, Lombard effect, dynamic adaptation

1. Introduction

Recent end-to-end text-to-speech synthesis (TTS) systems successfully produced natural-sounding speech given only the text transcription [1], [2], [3], [4]. However, the standard systems suffer from speech intelligibility degradation in noisy places because they only learn how to speak and do not learn how to listen and understand the situation.

In noisy places, humans speak louder to enhance their speech audibility, a phenomenon known as the Lombard effect [5]. Lombard effect not only includes the change in the speech intensity but also the pitch and speed [6]. Human speech adaptation, as in the Lombard effect, is enabled by the speech chain mechanism [7], a closed-loop auditory feedback mechanism from the mouth to the ear. This feedback connection enables speakers to monitor their speech and improve the speech when necessary.

Inspired by the human speech chain mechanism, a machine speech chain [8], [9], in Fig. 1(a), was proposed as a semi-supervised learning method for ASR (ear) and TTS (mouth) by connecting both systems with a closed-feedback loop. This framework improved ASR and TTS in training using unpaired speech-text data. In inference, unfortunately, the feedback connection is removed, so the systems here are still unable to adapt to their environment.

In this work, we propose a machine speech chain mechanism for TTS inference in noisy places. Our TTS (Fig. 1(b)) synthesizes speech with a Lombard effect dynamically to improve




Fig. 1 (a) Previous machine speech chain for semi-supervised training; (b) proposed machine speech chain for training and dynamically adaptive inference.

the speech intelligibility given the auditory feedback in utterance level. Here the auditory feedback consists of the speech-to-noise ratio (SNR) as the speech and noise intensity measurement and the ASR loss as the speech intelligibility measurement in noise.

2. Proposed TTS in Speech Chain Framework

The proposed TTS, shown in Fig. 2(a), is a multi-speaker Transformer TTS [2], [3] extended with auditory feedback components (ASR-loss embedding and SNR embedding) and a variance adaptor. Given character sequence $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_S]$ with length S , TTS generates the corresponding speech Mel-spectrogram $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T]$ with a length T and the prosody based on the auditory feedback in SNR (Z_{SNR}) and ASR loss (Z_{ASR}) embedding. In noisy situations, the proposed TTS performs a dynamic adaptation with a feedback loop in several iterations until the ASR loss converges.

We construct three TTS systems with different feedback configurations. All systems are trained using normal speech and Lombard speech in various noise conditions.

2.1 TTS with SNR feedback

TTS generates speech based on text input and SNR feedback embedding. In noisy conditions, TTS re-synthesizes speech to achieve a higher SNR (≥ 20 dB) by integrating the SNR embedding into the encoder output and the decoder input. We imple-

¹ Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma-shi, 630-0192 Japan
² RIKEN, Center for Advanced Intelligence Project AIP, Ikoma-shi, 630-0192 Japan
³ Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Nomi-shi, 923-1292 Japan
a) sashi.novitasari.si3@iss.naist.jp
b) ssakti@is.naist.jp
c) s-nakamura@is.naist.jp




Fig. 2 Architecture: (a) proposed TTS with a Transformer-based encoder-decoder structure, extended with (b) ASR-loss embedding, (c) SNR embedding, and (d) variance adaptor [10] modules.

Table 1 Speech intelligibility measure (CER %) at different SNR levels using clean- and multi-condition training ASR.

System	Multi-condition training ASR		
	Clean	SNR 0	SNR -10
Baseline TTS			
Standard TTS	18.32	70.54	77.07
+ Rule-based modification into Lombard speech	18.32	44.68	57.86
+ Fine tuning with Lombard speech (SNR 0)	13.19	32.71	53.35
+ Fine tuning with Lombard speech (SNR -10)	14.26	24.47	40.62
+ Fine tuning with Lombard speech (SNR 0 + SNR -10)	13.40	28.12	46.13
Proposed TTS			
TTS in speech chain framework	18.32	70.54	77.07
+ SNR feedback	11.58	22.82	42.00
+ SNR-ASR feedback	12.55	16.11	25.61
+ SNR-ASR feedback + variance adaptor	11.99	14.70	24.96
Topline (human natural speech)			
Natural speech	7.43	22.17	58.81
+ Rule-based modification into Lombard speech	7.43	13.24	15.15
Natural Lombard speech	7.43	11.46	20.46

ment the SNR embedding module using convolution network layers (Fig. 2(c)), which generate the embedding Z_{SNR} from noisy speech features y^{noisy} .

2.2 TTS with SNR-ASR feedback

TTS synthesizes speech based on text input and feedback from the SNR and ASR-loss embedding. The ASR-loss embedding (Fig. 2(b)) represents the speech intelligibility measurement in the presence of noise. ASR-loss embedding vector Z_{ASR} is generated by transcribing the noisy TTS speech using ASR and feeding the ASR recognition loss to the embedding module.

2.3 TTS with SNR-ASR feedback and variance adaptor

In addition to the SNR and ASR-loss embedding feedback, the proposed TTS applies a variance adaptor [10] that guides the prosody adaptation by predicting the speech intensity, duration, and pitch (Fig. 2(d)). The prosody attributes are predicted from the encoder Transformer output fused with feedback embeddings. The module output is utilized to produce the encoder final output.

3. Experiment

We experimented on using Wall Street Journal (WSJ) corpus [11]. Here we also recorded natural Lombard speech in noisy

conditions with a single male speaker. The noises in the recording were simulated by generating noises of SNR 0 dB and SNR -10 dB based on WSJ clean speech data first. From the prosody attributes changes observed in the recorded Lombard speech, we constructed synthetic Lombard WSJ speech by modifying the original WSJ speech pitch, duration, and intensity into a target SNR 20 dB. The original WSJ speech and the synthetic Lombard WSJ speech were used for TTS training and testing. Here our baselines are (1) the standard TTS, (2) the standard TTS with the rule-based speech modification into the Lombard speech, and (3) the standard TTS fine-tuned to Lombard speech [12]. The rule-based speech modification into Lombard speech was the same method as the synthetic Lombard WSJ construction method. The topline is the natural human speech.

The proposed SNR feedback and ASR feedback mechanism significantly improved the TTS speech intelligibility in noisy conditions, shown in Table 1. SNR feedback made the TTS aware of the environmental noise and speak with the Lombard effect, and ASR feedback helped the TTS improve the speech intelligibility further. Here the variance adaptor guided the speech prosody adaptation and resulted in a better intelligibility enhancement. The results here reveal that the machine can also dynamically adapt in several loops; listen to its voice in a noisy environment and then speak louder to improve it. For further information on speech samples, see the following reference: <https://sites.google.com/view/lombard-dynamic-tts/home>.

4. Conclusions

We constructed a dynamically adaptive machine speech chain inference framework to improve TTS intelligibility in noisy conditions. Our results reveal that dynamic adaptation with auditory feedback is important not only for humans but also for machines to generate a highly audible speech in various conditions.

Acknowledgments Part of this work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17H06101 and JP21H03467.

References

- [1] Y. Wang, R. Skerry-Ryan, D. Stanton, Y. Wu, R. J. Weiss, N. Jaitly, Z. Yang, Y. Xiao, Z. Chen, S. Bengio *et al.*, “Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2017, pp. 4006–4010.
- [2] N. Li, S. Liu, Y. Liu, S. Zhao, and M. Liu, “Neural speech synthesis with Transformer network,” in *Proc. AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 33, no. 01, 2019, pp. 6706–6713.
- [3] M. Chen, X. Tan, Y. Ren, J. Xu, H. Sun, S. Zhao, and T. Qin, “MultiSpeech: Multi-speaker text to speech with Transformer,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2020, pp. 4024–4028.
- [4] J. Donahue, S. Dieleman, M. Bińkowski, E. Elsen, and K. Simonyan, “End-to-end adversarial text-to-speech,” in *Proc. ICLR*, 2021.
- [5] H. Lane and B. Tranel, “The Lombard sign and the role of hearing in speech,” *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 677–709, 1971.
- [6] T. Letowski, T. Frank, and J. Caravella, “Acoustical properties of speech produced in noise presented through supra-aural earphones,” *Ear and hearing*, vol. 14, pp. 332–338, 1993.
- [7] P. B. Denes and E. N. Pinson, *The Speech Chain: The Physics and Biology of Spoken Language*, ser. Science/communication. New York, N.Y: W.H. Freeman, 1993. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.co.jp/books?id=UBtiAAAAMAAJ>
- [8] A. Tjandra, S. Sakti, and S. Nakamura, “Listening while speaking: Speech chain by deep learning,” in *Proc. IEEE ASRU*, 2017, pp. 301–308.
- [9] —, “Machine speech chain,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 28, pp. 976–989, 2020.
- [10] Y. Ren, C. Hu, X. Tan, T. Qin, S. Zhao, Z. Zhao, and T. Liu, “Fast-Speech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2006.04558, 2020.
- [11] D. B. Paul and J. M. Baker, “The design for the Wall Street Journal-based CSR corpus,” in *Proceedings of the workshop on Speech and Natural Language*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 1992, pp. 357–362.
- [12] D. Paul, M. P. Shifas, Y. Pantazis, and Y. Stylianou, “Enhancing speech intelligibility in text-to-speech synthesis using speaking style conversion,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH*, 2020, pp. 1361–1365.